



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/025,632      | 12/26/2001  | Arthur Alexander Godoy |                     | 4475             |

24187 7590 06/26/2003

MILLER NASH LLP  
4400 TWO UNION SQUARE  
601 UNION STREET  
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2352

EXAMINER

MEISLIN, DEBRA S

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

3723

DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

17.k

|                          |                                      |                                     |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/025,632 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>GODOY ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>Debra S. Meislin  | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3723             |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Debra S. Meislin. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Devon Ryning. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative suggested submitting a new specification that eliminated the new matter found in the previously filed substitute specification. Also, she suggested presenting only claim 13 for examination with an amendment to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. Claim 13 would be given favorable consideration once the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph has been overcome.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required